

## First Report of *Rhizopus* causing Hypocotyl and Root Rot in Sugar Beet (*Beta vulgaris*. L) Seedlings in Montana, USA.

Md Ehsanul Haque<sup>1</sup>, and Most Shanaj Parvin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND 58203

<sup>2</sup>Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:  
Received 20211127  
Received in revised form 20211207  
Accepted 20211217  
Available online 20211227

#### Keywords:

Barley ;  
Foxtail millet ;  
Proso-millet ;  
Finger-millet

### ABSTRACT

Sugar beet is commercially grown in Minnesota, Idaho, North Dakota, Michigan, Nebraska, Montana, California, Wyoming, Colorado, Oregon, and Washington [3]. The cultivars are relatively high-yielding and are moderately resistant to most of the common soil-borne and foliar pathogens. Most cultivars have a minimum level of resistance to root pathogens such as *Rhizoctoniasolani*, *Aphanomyces cochlioides*, *Clonostachysrosea*, *Globisporangiummultimum*, *Rhizopus stolonifera*, and *Fusarium equiseti* [1, 2, 3, 4].

**2021 Sciforce Publications. All rights reserved.**


\*Corresponding author. e-mail: shanaj.p@bari.gov.bd

In June 2019, sugar beet seedlings were observed with hypocotyl and root rot in Sidney (47.7167° N, 104.1563° W), Montana. The disease affected about 20% of the 10 ha commercial field (Fig. 1). Hypocotyl of infected seedlings was surface sterilized with 70% ethanol for 30 seconds, rinsed with sterile distilled water, and cultured on 10% water agar (WA) for 7 days at 25°C. Macroscopically, on WA the colonies had profuse white cottony mycelia with black dot-like heads (Fig. 2). Microscopically, the sporangiophores developed in groups (3 to 5) simple rhizoids and stolons at their ends (Fig. 3). The globose sporangia were large with a size of 90.24 (50.67 to 140.55) μm, and the sporangiospores ranged from ellipsoid to ovoid and measured 5.55 (5.00–8.14) μm × 3.4 (2.92–5.46) μm. Based on the morphological characteristics, the fungus was identified as *Rhizopus stolonifera* [5]. Pathogenicity assay was done using 8, 2-week old sugar beet seedlings grown individually in pots (4"×4"×6"). Seedlings were inoculated with a mycelial plug which was kept close to the seedlings. Inoculated and control plants were placed in the greenhouse at 25 ± 2°C,

and 80% to 85% relative humidity. In 4-5 days, six out of 8 inoculated seedlings showed symptoms similar to those observed in the field and non-inoculated seedlings were symptomless. This study was repeated (Fig. 4). The fungus was isolated from diseased roots and confirmed to be *Rhizopus stolonifera* based on morphological characters. *Rhizopus stolonifera* and *R. arrhizus* are the most common fungi mostly found in moist agricultural soils. *Rhizopus stolonifera* causes disease at low temperatures of 57° to 61°F. Recently, *Globisporangiummultimum* was reported to cause constriction on hypocotyl of sugar beet seedlings [4]. To our knowledge, this is the first report of *Rhizopus stolonifera* causing hypocotyl and root rot on sugar beet seedlings in Montana.



**Figure 1:** Infected sugarbeet hypocotyl rot collected from the field in Montana.



**Figure 2:** Appearance of *Rhizopus* grown on water agar.



**Figure 3:** Morphological characteristics of *Rhizopus*.



**Figure 4:** Symptoms on sugar beet seedlings inoculated artificially with *Rhizopus*.

**References;**

1. Haque, M. E.; Parvin, M. S. First Report of Aphanomyces Root Rot Caused by *Aphanomyces cochlioides* on Beta vulgaris in Arizona, USA. *J Plant Physiol Pathol*. 2020. 8:4.
2. Haque, M. E.; Parvin, M. S. 2020. First report of *Clonostachys rosea* causing root rot of Beta vulgaris in North Dakota, USA. *New Disease Reports* 42, 21.
3. Haque, ME.; Parvin, M. S. Sugar beet, its Disease Rhizoctonia Root Rot, and Potential Biological Agents. *AGBIR*. 2021, 37(1):96-101.
4. Haque, M. E.; Parvin, M.S. First Report of *Globisporangium multimum* Causing Constriction on Hypocotyl of Beta vulgaris in North Dakota, USA. *Austin J Plant Biol*. 2021; 7(1): 1025.
5. Lin, C. P., et al. 2017. *Plant Dis*. 101:254. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-07-16-1033-PDN> Link